## **Deposition of Clayton Cramer**

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

January 19, 2023



206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840, Seattle, Washington 98101 <u>www.buellrealtime.com</u>

email: info@buellrealtime.com



Clayton Cramer

	Page 1
IN THE UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT	OF OREGON
OREGON FIREARMS FEDERATION, INC., et al.,  Plaintiffs,  V.  KATE BROWN, et al.,  Defendants.	) ) ) Case Nos. ) 2:22-cv-01815-IM ) 3:22-cv-01859-IM ) 3:22-cv-01862-IM ) 3:22-CV-01869-IM
(Continued)	) ) ) )
* VIDEOCONFERE VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION UPON OF EXPERT CLAYTON CRA	N ORAL EXAMINATION F
Witness locate	ed in:
Middleton, 1	Idaho
* All participants appeared	via videoconference *
DATE TAKEN: January 19, 2023	
REPORTED BY: Tia B. Reidt, Wash Oreg	nington RPR, CSR #2798 gon #22-0001

Clayton Cramer

		Page 2
1 2	(Continued)	
3	MARK FITZ, et al.,	
4	Plaintiffs, ) v. )	
5	) ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM, et al., )	
6	Defendants. )	
7	KATERINA B. EYRE, et al.,	
9	Plaintiffs, ) v. )	
10	ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM, et al., )	
11	Defendants. )	
12	DANIEL AZZOPARDI, et al.,	
13	Plaintiffs, ) v. )	
14	ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM, et al., )	
15	Defendants. )	
16 17		
18		
19		
20		
21 22		
23		
24		
25		

Clayton Cramer

	Page 3
1	APPEARANCES
2	For Oregon Firearms Federation and the Witness:
3	LEONARD WILLIAMSON
4	VAN NESS WILLIAMSON 960 Liberty Street SE, Suite 100 Salem, OR 97302
5	(503) 365-8800 L.williamson@vwllp.com
6 7	For the State of Oregon Defendants:
8	ERIN DAWSON
9	HARRY WILSON  MARKOWITZ HERBOLD
10	1455 SW Broadway, Suite 1900 Portland, OR 97201
11	(503) 972-5076 ErinDawson@markowitzherbold.com
12 13	HarryWilson@markowitzherbold.com
14	For the Proposed Intervenor-Defendant Oregon Alliance for Gun Safety:
15	ZACHARY J. PEKELIS W. SCOTT FERRON
16	PACIFICA LAW GROUP 1191 Second Avenue, Suite 2000
17	Seattle, WA 98101 (206) 245-1700
18 19	Zach.Pekelis@PacificaLawGroup.com
20	Videographer: CATHY ZAK
21	BUELL REALTIME REPORTING 1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840
22	Seattle, WA 98101 (206) 287-9066
23	Info@buellrealtime.com
24 25	

Clayton Cramer

			Page 4
1		EXAMINATION INDEX	
2	EXAMINATION	BY: PAGE	
3	Ms. Dawson	8	
4	Mr. Pekelis	105	
5	Mr. Williams	son 164	
6		EXHIBIT INDEX	
7	EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
8		Second Declaration of Clayton Cramer.	15
9		First Declaration of Clayton Cramer.	97
11		Navy Yard Shooting Leaves Gun Control Crowd Out of Excuses -	112
12 13		Firearms News. Early American Gunsmithing: A	115
14		Family Affair.	
15		Ninth Circuit Victory: The End of the Beginning - Firearms News.	118
16		Clayton Cramer: A Victory in California.	122
<ul><li>17</li><li>18</li></ul>	EXHIBIT 17	Clayton Cramer: A Major Victory in California.	127
19		An Unfavorable California Decision that May Later Bear	131
20		Fruit   An Official Journal Of The NRA.	
21		What is it Like to be a California Gun Owner?   An	134
22		California Gun Owner?   An Official Journal Of The NRA.	
23	EXHIBIT 20	Why Oregon Ballot Measure 114	136
24		is Unconstitutional   An Official Journal Of The NRA.	
25			

Clayton Cramer

			<u> </u>
			Page 5
1		EXHIBIT INDEX CONTINUED	
2	EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
3	EXHIBIT 21	Donor Lookup, OpenSecrets.	138
5	EXHIBIT 22	Clayton Cramer: Federal Judge Temporarily Blocks California's New Magazine Ban. Blog.	142
6 7	EXHIBIT 23	Clayton Cramer: Does Limiting Magazine Capacity Matter? Blog.	144
8 9	EXHIBIT 24	Can Biden Take Your Rights With The Stroke of a Pen?   An Official Journal Of The NRA.	148
10	EXHIBIT 25	CRAMER: On the right side of the bullet - Washington Times.	151
<ul><li>11</li><li>12</li></ul>	EXHIBIT 26	Tough Targets, When Criminals Face Armed Resistance from Citizens.	154
13 14			
15			
16			
17 18			
19			
20			
21			
<ul><li>22</li><li>23</li></ul>			
23 24			
25			

Clayton Cramer

Page 45

probably trying to murder a lot more than two.

- Q. However, the Secret Service definition is of a mass attack rather than a mass murder. So it didn't seem as if they were purporting to define mass murder in the same way that when I read mass murder in your definition you have kind of the word "murder" in there. Is there a reason you elected not to go with the FBI's definition, which I think you state is kind of what's accepted in scholarly research and is what the FBI employs?
- A. In fact, I'm not sure that there's any agreement that it has to all be in one location or one event. Because in fact, quite a few of the mass murders that have been reported over the last 20 or 30 years have involved attacks that took place in several locations. People commit murders in one place and move on to commit murders in another place during the same few hours.
- Q. And I may have mis- -- misheard. I think your definition of kind of what the scholarly -- scholarship in the field accepts is just four or more dead.
  - A. Right.
- Q. So setting aside the location, is there a reason you didn't select that definition that you said is pretty commonly accepted?

Clayton Cramer

Page 46

A. Mostly because of the fact the Secret Service had this other strange definition of three or more harmed. And that particular report was, in fact, almost entirely related to firearms mass murders. They seem to have paid very little attention to other categories of mass murder.

Q. So it sounds like you have -- FBI, you have the field of scholarship. And then you happen to have a mass attack definition kind of hanging out over here with the Secret Service definition. But you decided to go with that one instead?

A. Well, as I said, I sort of -- I used components of both of those to come up with a definition, which seemed to me to be pretty logical.

At least two people are dead, and a lot of other people -- other people are injured, presumably because the killer was intending to kill more than those two people.

Q. Okay.

So other than kind of the presence of the Secret Service definition, was there anything else that led you to base your decision to create your definition? Did you base it on anything else?

- A. Nope.
- Q. Okay.

Clayton Cramer

	Page 81
1	in this chart? Because
2	A. Yes.
3	Q when I read it
4	A. It is.
5	Q. Okay.
6	(Reporter asks parties to speak one at a
7	time.)
8	MS. DAWSON: Sorry.
9	THE WITNESS: Yes, that is a separate
10	query I did to find out which ones only involve
11	non-firearms, which ones only used firearms.
12	BY MS. DAWSON:
12	
13	Q. Okay.
	Q. Okay.  Is that number where you have 3,809 for
13	
13 14	Is that number where you have 3,809 for
13 14 15	Is that number where you have 3,809 for non-firearms, and you have 2,068 for firearms
13 14 15 16	Is that number where you have 3,809 for non-firearms, and you have 2,068 for firearms reflective of the entire dataset of multiple weapon
13 14 15 16 17	Is that number where you have 3,809 for non-firearms, and you have 2,068 for firearms reflective of the entire dataset of multiple weapon incidents in your data?
13 14 15 16 17 18	Is that number where you have 3,809 for non-firearms, and you have 2,068 for firearms reflective of the entire dataset of multiple weapon incidents in your data?  A. Yes.
13 14 15 16 17 18	Is that number where you have 3,809 for non-firearms, and you have 2,068 for firearms reflective of the entire dataset of multiple weapon incidents in your data?  A. Yes.  Q. So total, if I were to add those two numbers
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Is that number where you have 3,809 for non-firearms, and you have 2,068 for firearms reflective of the entire dataset of multiple weapon incidents in your data?  A. Yes.  Q. So total, if I were to add those two numbers together, that's everything that's not included in your
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Is that number where you have 3,809 for non-firearms, and you have 2,068 for firearms reflective of the entire dataset of multiple weapon incidents in your data?  A. Yes.  Q. So total, if I were to add those two numbers together, that's everything that's not included in your single-weapon-incident chart on page 20?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Is that number where you have 3,809 for non-firearms, and you have 2,068 for firearms reflective of the entire dataset of multiple weapon incidents in your data?  A. Yes.  Q. So total, if I were to add those two numbers together, that's everything that's not included in your single-weapon-incident chart on page 20?  A. Could you ask that question again?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Is that number where you have 3,809 for non-firearms, and you have 2,068 for firearms reflective of the entire dataset of multiple weapon incidents in your data?  A. Yes.  Q. So total, if I were to add those two numbers together, that's everything that's not included in your single-weapon-incident chart on page 20?  A. Could you ask that question again?  Q. If I added the the incidents listed on

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Clayton Cramer

Page 86 And we have talked a little bit about numbers. There's numbers elsewhere in your declaration, and I just want to make certain that I understand what went into each of them. Okay. Α. So I apologize in advance. I'm going to hop around just a little bit. But I'll let you know which pages I'm on. So we have page 20 with this chart. I have -- have you added up kind of these columns to come to a total for your single-weapon-incident chart? Α. I have not added them up, no. Does 10,032 seem about right? Q. That seems a little on the high side just because the largest single category here is 2,571, and most the rest of these are quite a bit smaller. So I can represent to you that we added the numbers, and it's 10,032. But for purposes of the conversation, we can take a break if you want to add them up yourself and come to kind of your own conclusion. You know, that might not -- might not be a bad idea to do that. MS. DAWSON: Okay.

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC 206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

Let's do that.

Clayton Cramer

	Page 87	
1	Let's go ahead and take how long do you	
2	think you'd like just to not feel pressured and be able	
3	to run those numbers?	
4	THE WITNESS: I'd say about ten minutes.	
5	MS. DAWSON: Okay.	
6	Let's go ahead and take a quick ten-minute	
7	break, then.	
8	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record.	
9	The time is 11:15 a.m.	
10	(Pause in the proceedings.)	
11	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the	
12	record.	
13	The time is 11:19 a.m.	
14	BY MS. DAWSON:	
15	Q. Mr. Cramer, during our break, did you have the	
16	opportunity to calculate a total number for that chart	
17	on page 20?	
18	A. Yes. And you're right. It is it is I'm	
19	not sure exactly which query produced that data, but	
20	it's clearly wrong. I can tell you how many incidents	
21	and dead there were by firearms before 1960 and how	
22	many by non-firearm before 1960.	
23	Q. And can you explain to me when you say that	
24	it's clearly wrong?	
25	A. Well, the query that I constructed to request	

Clayton Cramer

Page 88

this information for the database was clearly not properly constructed. I will say that I -- SQL database queries can be sometimes rather confusing.

And I will not say that I'm quite as expert perhaps as I need to be, but I at least have numbers that make some sense now.

- Q. So we have the -- we have the chart here, which it sounds like you agree is likely incorrect. We have the numbers on page 21. And just so that I am clear, what do those numbers represent in the first paragraph on page 21 where it says "When grouped by incidents..."?
- A. Incidents where only a non-firearm item was marked. Because I've added a few entries in the last -- in the last few days, incidents before 1960, the non-firearms incidents are now 3,812 dead, a total of 807 incidents. And the incidents by firearm are now 866 incidents, 3,740 dead. It definitely changes things a bit.

20 Q. Okay.

And so if you flip to -- I'll take you to -let me take a look at my page number. For my own
information, when we're looking at your non-firearm
data, were there any instances or incidents in that
dataset where more than 50 people were killed?

Clayton Cramer

	Page 170
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF WASHINGTON
4	COUNTY OF PIERCE
5	
6	I, Tia Reidt, a Certified Court Reporter in and
7	for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the
8	foregoing transcript of the deposition of CLAYTON
9	CRAMER, having been duly sworn, on January 19, 2023, is
10	true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and
11	ability.
12	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
13	and seal this 26th day of January, 2023.
14 15	anea la superior de la constante de la constan
16	Ma B. Read Tomoro
17	/S/ Tia B. Reidt Tia B. Reidt, RPR, CSR Oregon #22-0001
18	NOTARY PUBLIC, State of Washington.
19	My commission expires
20	5/15/2026.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	